Acoustic Logging and Seismic/Well Integration

- Sonic Waves propagation
- Sonic processing
- LWD vs. Wireline Acoustic logging
- Special applications
  - Dipole ➠ Vs in “slow” formations
  - Cross-Dipole ➠ Anisotropy
  - Stoneley ➠ Permeability
  - Waveform attenuation
- Seismic/wells integration
  - Synthetic seismograms
  - Vertical Seismic Profiles (VSP)

Why Bother About Sonic Velocity ?

- Petrophysical properties:

$$K = \rho(V_p^2 - \frac{4}{3}V_s^2) \qquad \mu = \rho V_s^2$$

- Pore content identification
  - ➠Free gas, gas hydrate,...
  - ➠Porosity
- Seismic characterization
  - ➠ Seismic layers 2,3..
- Seismic correlation

Wave propagation in a borehole



Wave propagation in a borehole





How it could be done ...



^ Illustration from the 1935 patent on acoustic logging by Conrad Schlumberger. The field engineer (13) was supposed to slide a sleeve (17) until sound coming from receivers (3 and 4) appeared to arrive simultaneously at each ear.

Acoustic Wireline Logging



Receiver array

Isolation Joint

Transmitter



Wireline logging tools



Logging While Drilling (LWD)

Measurements

Porosity (fluid)

Real-time Transmission

Sonic velocity

Resistivity, Density
Porosity, Gamma Ray
Borehole fluid pressure

Resistivity Images

Sonic processing: preprocessing filter



Slowness-Time coherence Analysis



Slowness-Time coherence Analysis



Slowness-Time coherence Analysis



Slowness-Time coherence Analysis



STC results



STC results


125
100
75
50
25
Slowness (μs/ft)
500
1000
1500
2000
Time (μs)


S arrival
P arrival
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
Waveform No.
0
250
500
750
1000
1250
1500
Time (us)

STC final results



Summary of DSI Vp Logs during Expedition NGHP-1-01(wireline)



Summary of SonicVISION Vp Logs during Expedition NGHP-1-01(LWD)



Comparison between LWD and wireline sonic



Logging in Slow formations

Monopole Source





Fast formation:
Vp > Vs > Vfluid

Monopole Source



Sonic Waveforms



Slow formation:
Vp > Vfluid > Vs

Dipole Source





Slow formation:
Vp > Vfluid > Vs

Dipole Logging: Vs in slow formations

- A flexural wave generated by a dipole source travels at a velocity close to Vs that can be recorded even if Vs is lower than the fluid velocity
- The flexural wave is dispersive (velocity changes with frequency), which can be taken into account



- Two orthogonal transmitters can be used, recording two "independent" Vs logs
- Processing uses STC similar to monopole processing - but corrected for the effect of dispersion



Acoustic Logging Sources

Monopole:
pulse radiates equally in all directions

Dipole
two monopole sources of equal strength and opposite phase

Animations: Dr. Dan Russell, Kettering University: http://www.kettering.edu/~drussell/Demos/rad2/mdq.html

Acoustic Logging Sources

Monopole:
pulse radiates equally in all directions



Dipole
two monopole sources of equal strength and opposite phase



Animations: Dr. Dan Russell, Kettering University: http://www.kettering.edu/~drussell/Demos/rad2/mdq.html


Vs log in slow formation
0 GR 80
0 Cali. 50
Density
1.5 g/cc 2.1
Monopole
Vp
1400 m/s 2200
Upper Dipole
Vs from UD
200 m/s 1200
Lower Dipole
Vs from LD
200 m/s 1200
Depth (mbsf)
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
220
240
260

Cross Dipole Logging

- Two dipole transmitters orthogonal to each other are fired alternatively
- Two arrays of receivers, aligned with the two transmitters
- Waveforms are recorded ‘in-line’ and ‘cross-line’
- Combined analysis of inline and crossline waveforms allows to rotate the waveforms along a slow and a fast azimuth

- Differences in shear velocity can be related to the stress regime around the borehole



Shear waves splitting

- Wave propagate faster when the direction of particle motion is parallel to the direction of greatest stiffness
- The "fast" shear wave will travel in the direction of maximum horizontal stress



Waveforms rotation



Sonic Anisotropy in Mallik 5L38



Sonic Anisotropy in Mallik 5L38

Stress orientation in the Mallik Field



Fast Vs azimuth

Permeability estimation from Stoneley Waves

- When generated at low frequency, Stoneley waves travel as a tube wave
- They loose amplitude at the contact of permeable intervals, and are reflected by fractures.
- **The loss of amplitude can be related to formation permeability**



Analysis of Stoneley permeability in oceanic crust



Sonic waveforms attenuation

Attenuation ~ loss of energy/wf cycle

$$Q^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\Delta E}{E}$$



Intrinsic attenuation is mostly due to friction and fluid flow - i.e. the fabric of formation and of the pore space

Sonic Attenuation in Gas Hydrate-bearing sediments



Sonic Attenuation in oceanic crust Hole 504B



Seismic/Well Integration

- Vertical Seismic Profiles (VSP)
- Synthetic seismograms from logs

Vertical Seismic Profiles (VSP)



- Time-Depth relationship
- Interval velocity
- Imaging beyond the borehole

VSP tools used in ODP/IODP

Seismic sorce setup on the JR



And .... action!



VSP
NGHP-1-07D

Processing:
- Band pass filter
- First arrival pick
  - original
  - new



VSP Hole NGHP-1-05E



VSP Hole NGHP-1-17B



Generating Synthetic Seismograms from logs



Velocity survey (synthetic seismogram calibration)




10 GR 70
0 Cali(cm) 50
Resistivity
0.5 Ωm 2
Density
1.5 g/cc 2.1
Vp (m/s)
1400 2200
Vs (m/s)
200 1000
Synthetic seismogram
NGHP-1-01
Depth (mbsf)
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
220
240
260
BSR


30 GR 100
0 Cali(cm) 50
Resistivity
0.6 Ωm 2.2
Density
1.2 g/cc 2.2
Vp (m/s)
1400 1900
Vs (m/s)
150 400
Synthetic seismogram
Sw
100 % 50
NGHP-1-14A
Depth (mbsf)
80
100
120
140
160
BSR

NGHP-1-14A



Site NGHP-1-17, Andaman Islands




20 GR 60
0 Cali(cm) 50
Resistivity
0.5 Ωm 4
Density
1.3 g/cc 2.3
Vp (m/s)
1400 2200
Vs (m/s)
200 1000
Synthetic seismogram
Sw
100 % 50
Depth (mbsf)
300
350
400
450
500
550
600
650
700
NGHP-1-17B
BSR


50 GR 130
0 Cali(cm) 50
Resistivity
0.4 Ωm 2
Density
1.2 g/cc 2
Vp (m/s)
1450 1700
Vs (m/s)
150 500
Synthetic seismogram
Sw
100 % 50
Depth(mbsf)
60
80
100
120
140
160
180
200
220
240
NGHP-1-19B
BSR

NGHP-1-19B